# Weak Segregation in Molten Statistical Copolymers

Pierre-Gilles de Gennes

Collège de France, 11 place M. Berthelot, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France fax: +33 1 45 35 14 74; Email: pgg@espci.fr

Summary: we present a qualitative argument suggesting that a statistical (AB) copolymer should display a certain form of weak segregation, with A rich and B rich regions of size comparable to the coil radius. This should occur if the Flory parameter  $\chi$  is larger than *unity* (much larger than for the phase separation of A and B of homopolymers) in agreement with detailed calculations by Frederikson, Milner and Leibler. We achieve a certain qualitative insight for the resulting microphase.

**Keywords:** microphase separation; polymer melts; segregation; statistical copolymers;

#### Introduction

Two distinct homopolymers (A...A) and (B...B) are usually non miscible, when the product of the Flory parameter  $\chi$  by the polymerisation index N is large  $(\chi N > 2)$ . This may be understood qualitatively through the work of transfer W of one A chain from a pure A medium to a pure B medium. This work is proportional to the chain length W = NU, where U is the enthalpy increase for the transfer of one A monomer ( $U = \chi kT$ , where T is the temperature). Segregation will occur when W >> kT, or  $\chi N >> 1$ .

In this note, we consider the more complex problem of a melt based on a statistical linear polymer AB AA B... with N monomers, and a completely random chemical sequence. Here, the average enthalpy of mixing is trivial: what matters is the fluctuations of composition inside one chain. This question has been analysed recently by A. D. Litmanovich and coworkers<sup>[4]</sup> on a model with *two* chains in close contact: for this model, ref. <sup>[4]</sup> provides a detailed statistical analysis.

Our aim here is to extend the discussion to a real melt, in 3 dimensions, with many chains in interaction. This problem was solved long ago, at the level of the random phase approximation [5], by Frederikson, Milner and Leibler. [6] They set up a Landau theory, where

the order parameter is the deviation from average of the A/B concentration. For our case, with no correlations along the chemical sequence, they find a spinodal threshold when  $\gamma > 2$ .

Our main aim, in the present note, is to present a poor man's approach to this segregation problem. This is crude, but possibly helpful, because it gives a certain insight for the resulting mesophase.

### Weak segregation

We assume that the sequence A...BB...A of each chain is generated independently. (This is very different from a melt of polypeptides obtained from one same protein, where all the chains have the same sequence). The fraction  $\phi$  of monomers A on one chain has a gaussian distribution around  $\phi = 1/2$ , with a r.m.s. width

$$\Delta = N^{-1/2} \tag{1}$$

We divide this distribution into two pieces:

- ( $\alpha$ ) with  $\phi > \frac{1}{2}$
- (β) with φ < -1/2

The (r.m.s.) excess fraction of A in the ( $\alpha$ ) group is  $\Delta$ . In the ( $\beta$ ) group it is - $\Delta$ .

We call  $\psi(r)$  the local volume fraction of the  $(\alpha)$  chains (ranging from 0 to 1). The average Flory Huggins energy <sup>[1]</sup> f of the  $\alpha\beta$  mixture (per monomer site) is given by:

$$f/kT = N^{-1} [\psi + \eta \psi + (1 - \psi) + \eta (1 - \psi)] - \chi \Delta^{2} (\psi - \frac{1}{2})^{2}$$
 (2)

This leads to a transition for:

$$\chi > 2N\Delta^{-2} \equiv 2 \tag{3}$$

## **Spinodals**

Let us now focus on the case where  $\chi$  is slightly larger than 2. We start at time 0, with a completely disordered melt. Then we expect to observe a spinodal decomposition <sup>[5]</sup> with a certain caracteristic correlation length  $\xi_s$ . The formula for  $\xi_s$  may be obtained by adding to eq. (2) a term of order  $a^2$  ( $\nabla \psi$ )<sup>2</sup> (where a is the size of one unit in the Flory picture). The coefficient of this gradient term is unaltered by our transformation from  $\phi$  to  $\psi$ : in the language of ref. <sup>[5]</sup>, the unperturbed correlation function for one chain is always the Debye function  $g_D(\mathbf{r})$  <sup>[7]</sup>.

The result (for  $\chi$  slightly above 2) is:

$$\xi_{s} = a/3[2 - \chi]^{-1/2} \tag{4}$$

This gives the size of the regions which should nucleate initially. Indeed, a recent simulation of Houdayer and Muller  $^{[8]}$  (with N  $\sim$  20)shows A rich and B rich regions which may correspond to this.

At very long times, the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  regions should grow into droplets, which swell by Ostwald ripening. But it is important to realise that the diffusion constants D should be slowed down by the weakness of the constant between A and B. We expect:

$$D \sim D_0 (\chi - 2) \Delta^2 \tag{5}$$

where  $D_0$  is a diffusion constant for  $\chi = 0$ , which may be of the Rouse type (for small N) or of the reptation type (for large N).

### Discussion

- 1) We see from eq. (3) that weak segregation should occur only for large values of the Flory parameter  $\chi > 2$ . This corresponds to very strong enthalpies of mixing. Thus all our discussion should be relevant only for some very special AB pairs, possibly using ionic components.
- 2) We should emphasize the weakness of the proposed segregation: the contrast between ( $\alpha$ ) and ( $\beta$ ) regions is of order  $\Delta \ll 1$ , and the scattering intensities vary like  $\Delta^2 \sim N^{-1}$ .
- 3) We consider spatial variations in connection with eq. (4).But we can treat only wave vectors q which are small (q  $R_0 < 1$ , where  $R_0 = N^{1/2}$  a is the size of one coil). At q  $R_0 > 1$ , the physically relevant value of  $\Delta$  is  $\Delta = g^{-1/2}$ , where g is the number of monomers in a blob of size  $q^{-1} = g = (qa)^{-2}$ .

Acknowledgments: all this (conjectural) reflection was initiated by a discussion with Prof. A. Litmanovich. We also benefited from later exchanges with K. Binder, J. Houdayer and L. Leibler, and from an illuminating remark by F. Wyart.

- [1] P. Flory, Principles of Polymer Chemistry, Cornell U. Press (Ithaca, NY), 1971.
- [2] R. L. Scott, J. Chem. Phys. 1949, 17, 179.
- [3] H.Tompa, Trans. Faraday Soc. 1949, 45, 1142.
- [4] A. D. Litmanovich, Ya. V. Kudryavtsev, Yu. A. Kriksin, O. A. Kononenko, 4<sup>th</sup> Intern. Symp. "Molecular Order and Mobility in Polymer Systems", St Petersburg, June 3-7 2002, Book of Abstracts, 0-018, "*Macromol. Theory and Simul.*" (to be published).
- [5] P. G. de Gennes, Scaling Concepts in Polymer Physics, Cornell U. Press (Ithaca, NY), 2<sup>nd</sup> printing, 1985.
- [6] G. Frederikson, S. Milner, L. Leibler, Macromolecules, 1992, 25, 6341.
- [7] P. Debye, J. Phys. Colloid. Chem., 1947, 51, 18.
- [8] J. Houdayer, M. Müller, Europhys. Lett. 2002, 58, 660.